Appendix K

**Trail Evaluation Form** 

## **Directions for Use of the Trail Evaluation Form**

Reviewers utilizing the Trail Evaluation Form to screen a proposed trail should score the proposal for each of the "benefits" according to a numeric scheme of 0 to 2. A zero score corresponds to "not applicable" or "does not support this use." A two corresponds to "strongly supports this use." The scores for the individual criteria can then be totaled into a single numeric score for the trail proposal.

The four criteria listed under Possible Concerns are not to be scored numerically, but described or commented on by the reviewer in sentence format. It is vital that the trail proponents reveal any possible concerns fully, and the reviewers understand and consider them in making their decision.

A review panel may consider some criteria to be of more importance than others. In this case, they should agree on weights for the numeric scores of the criteria, and enter the weights on the Trail Evaluation sheet, multiplying each score accordingly. A range of weights from 1 to 3 would signify that the most important factors are considered three times more vital than the least important ones.

It is not intended that the numeric ranking scheme serve in lieu of reviewer judgment. Its purpose is to compel the developers and the reviewers of trail proposals to carefully consider each of the possible benefits and disadvantages of a particular trail design. The numeric scores for trail proposals should serve as the springboard for discussion among reviewers, not as the final review.

## **Trail Evaluation Form**

The applicability of each siting criterion should be scored as High, Low or None. The score for each criterion (2, 1, and 0) is then multiplied by the optional weight, entered in the right hand column and added up to provide a siting score for the trail.

Trail Name:	_ Trail Tag:				
	High	Low	None	Weight	Score
Human/Cultural Benefits	-			-	
Enhances Non-Motorized Transportation	2	1	0	X	
Supports Non-Motorized Recreation		1	0	X	
Interfaces with Transportation Plans and Networks		1	0	X	
Provides Connections to Community Amenities		1	0	X	
Improves Safety		1	0	X	
Supports Educational Uses		1	0	X	
Provides Handicap Access		1	0	X	
Makes Use of Existing Corridors	2	1	0	X	
Public Support/Demand	2	1	0	X	
Seizes Available Opportunity	2	1	0	X	
Ecological Benefits					
Protects Natural/Landscape/Environmental Features	2	1	0	X	
Connects Fragmented Natural Lands		1	0	X	
Provides Critical Buffering Function		1	0	X	
Diminishes Air/Water Pollution	2	1	0	X	
Economic Benefits					
Easily Secures Easements/Rights-of-way	2	1	0	Х	
Construction Funds Exist	2	1	0	X	
Easily Maintained	2	1	0	X	
Maintenance Mechanism Exits	2	1	0	X	
Provides Best-Cost Alternative	2	1	0	X	
Stimulates Economic Development	2	1	0	X	
Available Trailhead Parking	2	1	0	x	

Total score:

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Possible Concerns**

Safety Concerns/Constraints	
·	
Local Opposition	
Impact on Natural/Landscape Features	
1 1	
Construction Hindrances	